Psychedelic Drugs: Considerations for Clinical Investigations Guidance for Industry

DRAFT GUIDANCE

This guidance document is being distributed for comment purposes only.

Comments and suggestions regarding this draft document should be submitted within 60 days of publication in the *Federal Register* of the notice announcing the availability of the draft guidance. Submit electronic comments to <u>https://www.regulations.gov</u>. Submit written comments to the Dockets Management Staff (HFA-305), Food and Drug Administration, 5630 Fishers Lane, Rm. 1061, Rockville, MD 20852. All comments should be identified with the docket number listed in the notice of availability that publishes in the *Federal Register*.

For questions regarding this draft document, contact (CDER) Kofi Ansah at 301-796-4158.

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Food and Drug Administration Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER)

> June 2023 Clinical/Medical

Psychedelic Drugs: Considerations for Clinical Investigations Guidance for Industry

Additional copies are available from:

Office of Communications, Division of Drug Information Center for Drug Evaluation and Research Food and Drug Administration 10001 New Hampshire Ave., Hillandale Bldg., 4th Floor Silver Spring, MD 20993-0002 Phone: 855-543-3784 or 301-796-3400; Fax: 301-431-6353 Email: druginfo@fda.hhs.gov https://www.fda.gov/drugs/guidance-compliance-regulatory-information/guidances-drugs

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Food and Drug Administration Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER)

> June 2023 Clinical/Medical

Draft — Not for Implementation

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	BACKGROUND	1
III.	DISCUSSION	2
A.	Chemistry, Manufacturing, and Controls	.2
B.	Nonclinical	.3
C.	Clinical Pharmacology	.5
D.	Abuse Potential Assessment	.6
Е.	Clinical	.8

Draft — Not for Implementation

Psychedelic Drugs: Considerations for Clinical Investigations Guidance for Industry¹

This draft guidance, when finalized, will represent the current thinking of the Food and Drug Administration (FDA or Agency) on this topic. It does not establish any rights for any person and is not binding on FDA or the public. You can use an alternative approach if it satisfies the requirements of the applicable statutes and regulations. To discuss an alternative approach, contact the FDA staff responsible for this guidance as listed on the title page.

13 14

1

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

15 16

17

I. **INTRODUCTION**

18 The U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA or Agency) is issuing this guidance to provide 19 general considerations to sponsors developing psychedelic drugs for treatment of medical 20 conditions (e.g., psychiatric disorders, substance use disorders). For the purposes of this 21 guidance, the term *psychedelic* is used as shorthand to include *classic psychedelics*, typically 22 understood to be 5-HT2 agonists such as psilocybin and lysergic acid diethylamide (LSD), as 23 well as *entactogens* or *empathogens* such as methylenedioxymethamphetamine (MDMA). 24

25 In general, FDA's guidance documents do not establish legally enforceable responsibilities. 26 Instead, guidances describe the Agency's current thinking on a topic and should be viewed only 27 as recommendations, unless specific regulatory or statutory requirements are cited. The use of 28 the word *should* in Agency guidances means that something is suggested or recommended, but 29 not required.

30 31

32 II.

33

BACKGROUND

34 In recent years, interest in the therapeutic potential of psychedelic drugs has been increasing. 35 Psychedelic drug development programs are subject to the same regulations and same

36

evidentiary standards for approval as other drug development programs. However, designing 37 clinical studies to evaluate the safety and effectiveness of these compounds presents a number of

38 unique challenges. Psychedelic drugs can cause intense perceptual disturbances and alterations in

39 consciousness that can last for several hours. Some drug development programs incorporate a

40 psychological or behavioral intervention. Investigators hypothesize that psychedelic drugs have

41 both rapid-onset and long-term benefits after only one or a few doses. These and other unusual

42 characteristics should be considered when designing clinical studies so that the results of those

43 studies can be interpretable.

¹ This guidance has been prepared by the Division of Psychiatry in the Center for Drug Evaluation and Research at the Food and Drug Administration.

Draft — Not for Implementation

44

45 Because this is an emerging area of drug development, there is limited experience as to the

46 configuration of programs that may support approval of a psychedelic drug. Rather than

47 providing specific recommendations on study design, this guidance will present foundational

48 constructs that all sponsors, including academic sponsor-investigators, studying the therapeutic

potential of psychedelic drugs should consider. Sponsors may also request meetings with FDA
 for advice on a specific drug development program.²

50 51

52 This guidance applies to clinical trials that will be conducted under an investigational new drug 53 application (IND), including such clinical trials (e.g., research or academic studies) that are not 54 intended to support marketing applications. The principles in this guidance are intended to 55 support the ethical conduct of clinical trials as well as to ensure the integrity of the trial and the 56 reliability of the results.

57

58

59 III. DISCUSSION

Below, we outline general considerations, by discipline, for drug development programs
evaluating the therapeutic potential of psychedelic drugs. The Agency is open to discussing
various approaches to address these considerations; sponsors should engage divisions early in the
drug development process.

65 66

67

A. Chemistry, Manufacturing, and Controls

Sponsors must provide sufficient chemistry, manufacturing, and controls information to ensure
 proper identification, quality, purity, and strength of the investigational drug substance and drug
 product.³ This is true for all phases of clinical trials.

71 72

73

74

75

• Chemistry data submitted by a sponsor to FDA may be proprietary. In general, sponsors interested in conducting a clinical investigation with a psychedelic drug under an IND must either submit their own information or incorporate information previously submitted by a person other than the sponsor when the sponsor has a right of reference for such information.⁴

⁷⁶ 77

² See the draft guidance for industry *Formal Meetings Between the FDA and Sponsor or Applicants of PDUFA Products* (December 2017). When final, this guidance will represent the FDA's current thinking on this topic. For the most recent version of a guidance, check the FDA guidance web page at https://www.fda.gov/regulatoryinformation/search-fda-guidance-documents.

³ See 21 CFR 312.23(a)(7).

⁴ See 21 CFR 312.23(b).

Draft — Not for Implementation

78 If using plant material, algae, macroscopic fungi, or a combination of these, the 79 investigational product may be considered a botanical, as that term is defined in the 80 guidance for industry *Botanical Drug Development* (December 2016).⁵ 81 82 Although some psychedelic compounds are derived from plants or fungi, drug products 83 that are genetically modified; produced by fermentation of yeast, bacteria, or plant cells; 84 or highly purified substances from naturally occurring sources are not considered 85 botanicals for purposes of this document and the guidance for industry *Botanical Drug* 86 Development. 87 88 Drugs must be manufactured in compliance with current good manufacturing practice • 89 (CGMP) under section 501(a)(2)(b) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act. For 90 most drug products manufactured in support of phase 1 studies, manufacturers should 91 follow the recommendations in the guidance for industry, CGMP for Phase 1 92 Investigational Drugs (July 2008). Certain drug products manufactured in support of 93 phase 1 studies and drug products manufactured in support of phase 2 studies and beyond must comply with applicable CGMP regulations in 21 CFR part 211.^{6,7} Studies in which 94 subjects are enrolled to measure the effectiveness of a drug for a particular indication or 95 96 indications are generally considered phase 2 studies;⁸ therefore, the drug product used in 97 those phase 2 studies must be manufactured in accordance with CGMP requirements.⁹ 98 Each phase of the investigation requires sufficient information to ensure identification, 99 purity, and strength of the investigational drug substance and drug product.¹⁰ 100 Investigators and sponsors are encouraged to refer to the guidance for industry INDs for 101 Phase 2 and 3 Studies; Chemistry, Manufacturing, and Controls Information (May 2003) 102 and the guidance for industry Content and Format of INDs for Phase 1 Studies of Drugs, 103 Including Well-Characterized, Therapeutic, Biotechnology-Derived Products (October 104 2000).11 105 106 B. Nonclinical 107

108 The nonclinical program for psychedelic drugs should follow recommendations outlined in the 109 ICH guidance for industry *M3(R2)* Nonclinical Safety Studies for the Conduct of Human Clinical

⁶ See 21 CFR 210.2(c).

⁷ See 21 CFR part 211.

⁸ See 21 CFR 312.21(b).

⁹ See 21 CFR 210.2(c).

⁵ We update guidances periodically. For the most recent version of a guidance, check the FDA guidance web page at https://www.fda.gov/regulatory-information/search-fda-guidance-documents.

¹⁰ See 21 CFR 312.23(a)(7)(i).

¹¹ For more general information about the IND process, see https://www.fda.gov/drugs/types-applications/investigational-new-drug-ind-application.

Draft — Not for Implementation

110 *Trials and Marketing Authorization for Pharmaceuticals* (January 2010).¹² However, the 111 following considerations may be unique to psychedelic drugs.

112

119

125 126

127

128

129

130

131 132

133

134 135

136

137

138

139

It may be reasonable for clinical studies with certain psychedelic drugs to be initiated under an IND in the absence of the typical animal toxicology testing when extensive human exposure and information are available from previously conducted clinical studies and no serious safety concerns were identified. Sponsors should plan to conduct nonclinical studies to support further drug development after initiation of the IND unless there are adequate data in the published scientific and medical literature.^{13,14}

- An IND must include adequate information about pharmacological and toxicological studies of the drug on the basis of which the sponsor has concluded it is reasonably safe to conduct the proposed clinical investigations.¹⁵ Therefore, psychedelic drugs without a history of adequate clinical exposure should not be tested in humans until safety has been established in nonclinical studies.¹⁶
 - Although current psychedelic drug development programs are exploring single-dose or intermittent-dose treatment paradigms, most of the conditions being studied to date in these programs are chronic. Nonclinical studies to support chronic or chronic-intermittent dosing should be provided if the treatment effect is not durable and repeat dosing is expected.¹⁷ Sponsors should determine the most appropriate dosing paradigm (e.g., dosing intervals) for each animal species in the repeat-dose toxicity studies to support their intended clinical studies. The number and types of nonclinical studies to support approval of a marketing application will largely depend on treatment paradigm.
 - Because psychedelic drugs have serotonin (5-HT) activity, a thorough evaluation of binding to 5-HT receptor subtypes should be conducted. Binding to the 5-HT2B receptor subtype has been linked to heart valvulopathy in humans; therefore, sponsors should assess functional activity at the 5-HT2B receptor subtype. If a psychedelic drug is shown to be an agonist at 5-HT2B receptors, a thorough microscopic evaluation of the heart

¹⁵ 21 CFR 312.23(a)(8).

¹² We support the principles of the "3Rs," to reduce, refine, and replace animal use in testing when feasible. We encourage sponsors to consult with us if it they wish to use a non-animal testing method they believe is suitable, adequate, validated, and feasible. We will consider if such an alternative method could be assessed for equivalency to an animal test method.

¹³ See 21 CFR 312, 314, and 601 (for information about the requirements for an IND, a new drug application, or a biologics license application).

¹⁴ See the ICH guidance for industry *M3(R2)* Nonclinical Safety Studies for the Conduct of Human Clinical Trials and Marketing Authorization for Pharmaceuticals (January 2010).

¹⁶ See the guidance for industry Safety Testing of Drug Metabolites (March 2020) and ICH M3(R2).

¹⁷ See 21 CFR 312.23(a)(8) (providing information on requirements in INDs. The regulation specifies that "[t]he kind, duration, and scope of animal and other tests required [to conduct the proposed clinical investigation] varies with the duration and nature of the proposed clinical investigations").

Draft — Not for Implementation

140	should be conducted to assess for potential heart valve thickening in both rodent and						
141		nonro	dent repeat-dose toxicity studies, including sectioning of all heart valves.				
142		C	Clinical Dhanmaadagu				
143 144		C.	Clinical Pharmacology				
144	Dhama	acalrin	ation and/on abamagaa dreaming of narrahadalia dayaa ahayid ha adaayataly				
143 146	Pharmacokinetics and/or pharmacodynamics of psychedelic drugs should be adequately						
140 147	characterized both in vitro and in vivo. Sponsors should also consider the following clinical pharmacology aspects when developing psychedelic drugs.						
147	phaim	acolog	y aspects when developing psychedenic drugs.				
		C	and should evelope to the effect of a bight for most on the above contrinction of an anal				
149 150	•	-	sors should evaluate the effect of a high-fat meal on the pharmacokinetics of an oral				
150	psychedelic drug early in development to inform clinical study design and potential labeling.						
151		labell	ng.				
152		Second	some should evaluate notantial draw draw and draw diagons interactions to inform				
155	•		sors should evaluate potential drug-drug and drug-disease interactions to inform sion and exclusion criteria and prohibited concomitant medications for clinical				
154			es and to inform potential labeling. ^{18,19,20}				
155		stuare	s and to morm potential labeling.				
150	•	Long	-term exposure to 5-HT2B agonists may induce cardiac valve stiffening. Currently,				
157	•		recommends that sponsors exclude subjects with preexisting valvulopathy or				
158			onary hypertension from multiple-dose studies of drugs with this mechanism until				
160		-	sk can be better characterized.				
161		uns n	sk ean de detter enardeterized.				
162	•	Know	n pharmacodynamic interactions to consider include the following:				
163	-	I CHO W	in pharmacou ynamie interactions to consider merade the fonowing.				
164		_	Chronic use of selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors or monoamine oxidase				
165			inhibitors may reduce the effect of psychedelic drugs.				
166			j i j 8				
167		_	Chronic use of tricyclic antidepressants or lithium and acute use of selective				
168			serotonin reuptake inhibitors or monoamine oxidase inhibitors use may potentiate				
169			psychedelic effects.				
170							
171	•	The d	ose-response relationship for most psychedelic drugs is poorly understood.				
172			sors should take appropriate steps to characterize the dose-response relationship,				
173		both f	for efficacy and for safety.				
174							

¹⁸ See the guidance for industry *In Vitro Drug Interaction Studies* — *Cytochrome P450 Enzyme- and Transporter-Mediated Drug Interactions* (January 2020).

¹⁹ See the guidance for industry *Clinical Drug Interaction Studies* — *Cytochrome P450 Enzyme- and Transporter-Mediated Drug Interactions* (January 2020).

²⁰ See the guidance for industry *Evaluation of Gastric pH-Dependent Drug Interactions With Acid-Reducing Agents: Study Design, Data Analysis, and Clinical Implications* (March 2023).

Draft — Not for Implementation

175 **D.** Abuse Potential Assessment

The assessment of the abuse potential of a drug product under development is generally
conducted as a component of its safety evaluation. Drug products that are assessed for abuse
potential include new molecular entities that are active on the central nervous system, as well as
those products that contain drugs that are already controlled under the Controlled Substances
Act.

182

176

183 • Psychedelic drugs act on the central nervous system, produce psychoactive effects (e.g., 184 mood or cognitive changes, hallucinations), and need to be evaluated for abuse potential during drug development.²¹ Data from the abuse potential assessment and a proposal for 185 drug scheduling under the Controlled Substances Act is required to be included in a new 186 drug application submission.²² Many psychedelic drugs are Schedule I substances under 187 the Controlled Substances Act²³ because they have high abuse potential and do not have a 188 189 currently accepted medical use in the United States. Should a psychedelic drug that is a 190 Schedule I controlled substance receive FDA approval as a drug product, the abuse 191 potential assessment would assist in determining an appropriate rescheduling action 192 under the Controlled Substances Act. For general information on how to conduct the 193 abuse potential evaluation, including recommended methodologies, and what studies 194 should be included as part of the new drug application submission, see the guidance for 195 industry Assessment of Abuse Potential of Drugs (2017).

196

197 For psychedelic drugs that are Schedule I controlled substances, activities associated with • 198 investigations under an IND must comply with the applicable Drug Enforcement 199 Administration (DEA) regulations for research, manufacturing, importation/exportation, handling, and storage requirements for a Schedule I drug.²⁴ This requirement includes 200 201 DEA registration of the investigator who intends to conduct research, per 21 U.S.C. 202 823(g) and the implementing regulation 21 CFR 1301.18. A Schedule I registration 203 issued by DEA is required before the initiation of nonclinical and clinical studies. 204 Authorization to conduct the research is required for each specific protocol and may need to be supplemented for amendments made to a protocol.²⁵ Sponsors should contact DEA 205 to discuss and ensure compliance with all applicable DEA requirements. 206 207

For some psychedelic drugs that are Schedule I controlled substances, there have been numerous investigations of these drugs, as documented in the published scientific literature. When appropriate, sponsors should propose the use of scientifically valid, published investigations to support the abuse potential assessment. For those psychedelic drugs that have not been well-characterized previously in preclinical and clinical studies,

²¹ See 21 CFR 314.50(d)(5)(vii).

²² Ibid.

²³ See 21 CFR 1308.11.

²⁵ 21 CFR 1301.18.

²⁴ See 21 CFR part 1301.

Draft — Not for Implementation

sponsors should conduct a full abuse potential assessment, as described in the guidance
for industry Assessment of Abuse Potential of Drugs, before submission of a new drug
application.

 A human abuse potential study should generally be conducted when a drug has shown abuse-related signals in animal and/or human studies. However, a human abuse potential study may not be scientifically necessary for certain psychedelic drugs to support the abuse potential assessment in a new drug application when the subjective effects predictive of abuse are well characterized from extensive clinical studies and robust epidemiological data exist to demonstrate that individuals are using the psychedelic drug for abuse purposes.

225 • An adverse event (AE) for purposes of IND safety reporting means any untoward 226 medical occurrence associated with the use of a drug in humans, whether or not 227 considered drug related.²⁶ An evaluation of psychedelic responses that occur during 228 clinical studies should be obtained through the inclusion of validated subjective scales 229 and through monitoring abuse-related AEs, such as euphoria, hallucinations, stimulation, 230 and emotional lability. Abuse-related AEs are monitored and reported as a safety concern even if they are hypothesized to be associated with the therapeutic response.²⁷ Thus, for 231 232 psychedelic drugs, investigators and session monitors should be trained to record all 233 abuse-related AEs, including psychedelic ones. The incidence of these abuse-related AEs 234 in comparison to placebo or active control in studies should be reported by study, 235 population, and dose and should be displayed in tabular format. Narratives describing 236 these events should also be provided. Sponsors are encouraged to discuss their abuse-237 related AE monitoring plan early in development with the Controlled Substance Staff 238 (through the primary review division). For more information about procedures for 239 monitoring and assessing abuse-related AEs, refer to section V.B. of the guidance for 240 industry Assessment of Abuse Potential of Drugs. 241

- An assessment of the potential for physical dependence with a psychedelic drug may be appropriate as part of the abuse potential assessment depending on the proposed conditions of use for which the drug is being studied (e.g., acute intermittent use versus prolonged continuous use).
- For all animal and human abuse potential and dependence-related studies that will contribute to the abuse potential assessment, it is generally recommended that these studies be conducted only after the therapeutic dose range is determined, which typically occurs when phase 2 clinical studies are completed. FDA recommends submitting proposed protocols to the Agency for review and comment before conducting these abuse-related studies.
- 252 253

242

243

244

245

246 247

248

249

250

251

216

224

²⁶ 21 CFR 312.32(a).

²⁷ 21 CFR 312.32(c).

Draft — Not for Implementation

Sponsors are encouraged to discuss their plans for an abuse potential assessment with
 FDA early in the IND stage of drug development and request (through the primary
 review division) review and comment on these plans from the Controlled Substance Staff
 in the Center for Drug Evaluation and Research.

E. Clinical

258 259

260

264

265

266

267

268 269

281

The substantial evidence standard for establishing effectiveness of psychedelic drugs is the same as it is for all other drugs.²⁸ However, the following considerations may be unique to psychedelic drugs.

- Adequate and well-controlled (AWC) clinical studies are generally required to meet the substantial evidence standard to establish effectiveness in a marketing application.²⁹ However, the characteristics of psychedelic drugs present challenges for sponsors in designing AWC clinical studies.
- An AWC study uses a design that permits a valid comparison with a control to 270 _ provide a quantitative assessment of a drug's effect.³⁰ In the context of psychedelic 271 drug development, the use of a traditional placebo as a control can be problematic for 272 assessing efficacy. Subjects receiving an active drug experience functional unblinding 273 274 because of the intense perceptual disturbances that can develop; those who receive a 275 placebo in the context of high expectancy may experience a *nocebo* effect (i.e., 276 worsening symptoms as a result of knowing they did not get active treatment). 277 However, an inactive control allows for better contextualization of any safety 278 findings. Alternatives to an inert placebo (e.g., subperceptual doses of a psychedelic 279 drug, other psychoactive drugs that mimic some aspects of the psychedelic 280 experience) may be considered as well.
- In an AWC study, adequate measures are taken to minimize bias on the part of the subjects, observers, and analysts of the data.³¹ Sponsors should consider the use of video or central raters blinded to treatment allocation and visit number. The use of a blinding questionnaire for both subjects and investigators/raters can be helpful to evaluate the impact of functional unblinding.
- Complementary trial designs across phases 2 and 3 could address different challenges
 in psychedelic drug development. For example, a trial using a low, middle, and high
 dose without a placebo could be paired with a placebo-controlled trial. The trial
 without a placebo could provide information about dose-response without the risk of

³¹ See 21 CFR 314.126(b)(5)

²⁸ See section 505(d) of the FD&C Act; see also the draft guidance for industry *Demonstrating Substantial Evidence of Effectiveness for Human Drug and Biological Products* (December 2019). When final, this guidance will represent the FDA's current thinking on this topic.

²⁹ See section 505(d) of the FD&C Act; 21 CFR 314.126.

³⁰ See 21 CFR 314.126(b)(2).

Draft — Not for Implementation

292 293	a nocebo effect. The placebo-controlled trial may raise concerns about functional unblinding but will allow for better characterization of safety signals.
294	
295 •	Many of the psychedelic drug development programs involve administering the
296	investigational drug and then engaging in psychological support or psychotherapy either
297	while the subject is experiencing the acute effects of the drug or in a subsequent session.
298	This additional variable both complicates the assessment of effectiveness and presents a
299	challenge for any future product labeling.
300	
301	- As of the publication date of this guidance, the contribution of the psychotherapy
302	component to any efficacy observed with psychedelic treatment has not been
303	characterized.
304	
305	- Psychotherapeutic interventions have the potential to increase expectancy and
306	performance biases. Sponsors should plan to justify the inclusion of a psychotherapy
307	component and describe any trial design elements intended to reduce potential bias or
308	to quantify the contribution of psychotherapy to the overall treatment effect. A
309	factorial design may be useful for characterizing the separate contributions of drug
310	and psychotherapy to any observed treatment response.
311	
312	- The therapist monitoring the session can usually deduce the treatment assignment by
313	observing the subject's behavior. Therefore, it is preferable that the in-session
314	monitor is not involved in post-session psychotherapy because their knowledge of the
315	treatment could bias the delivery of subsequent therapy.
316	
317 •	FDA may place a study under an IND under clinical hold if it finds, among other reasons,
318	that human subjects are or would be exposed to an unreasonable and significant risk of
319	illness or injury. ³² Subjects receiving active treatment with psychedelic drugs remain in a
320	vulnerable state for as long as 12 hours. Given this concern, so that subjects are not
321 322	placed at an unreasonable and significant risk of illness or injury, safety-monitoring
322	should include the following:
323	 Observation by two monitors for the duration of the treatment session
324	- Observation by two monitors for the duration of the treatment session
325	• A healthcare provider with graduate-level professional training and clinical
320	experience in psychotherapy, licensed to practice independently, serving as the
328	<i>lead</i> monitor. Examples of such professional credentials include the following:
329	teau monitor. Examples of such professional credentials merude the following.
330	• Clinical or counseling psychologist (PhD or PsyD)
331	 Psychiatrist or other physician (MD or DO)
332	 Aster of Social Work (MSW)
333	
	 Licensed Clinical Professional Counselor (LCPC) Licensed Marriage and Family Thermist (LMET)
334	Licensed Marriage and Family Therapist (LMFT)
335	• Psychiatric Nurse Practitioner (Psychiatric NP).

³² 21 CFR 312.42.

Draft — Not for Implementation

336		
337		• An <i>assistant</i> monitor with a bachelor's degree and at least 1 year of clinical
338		experience in a licensed mental healthcare setting.
339		1 5
340		– If the lead monitor is not a physician, availability of a licensed on-call physician able
341		to reach the clinical site within 15 minutes in the event of a medical emergency.
342		······································
343	•	The informed consent should clearly describe that subjects may experience changes in
344	-	perception, cognition, and judgment that persist for many hours, as well as increased
345		vulnerability and suggestibility during the treatment session.
346		valieraenty and suggestionity during the treatment session.
347	•	Sponsors should plan to characterize the dose-response relationship for both safety and
348	•	efficacy early in the drug development program.
349		emeacy early in the drug development program.
350	•	Sponsors should plan to characterize the durability of response for their drug product, the
350 351	•	recommended interdose interval for maintenance of effect, and the safety and efficacy of
351 352		repeat dosing. At a minimum, for the treatment of a chronic illness such as post-traumatic
352		stress disorder or major depressive disorder, sponsors should evaluate the effect of
353 354		treatment at 12 weeks. However, sponsors should continue to follow subjects in an open-
355		label extension period for a year beyond the Week 12 endpoint to monitor for symptom
355		recurrence or, potentially, the need for repeat dosing.
350 357		recurrence or, potentiarry, the need for repeat doshig.
358		For drygg that have been shown to have functional activity of the 5 UT2D recentor it is
358 359	•	For drugs that have been shown to have functional activity at the 5-HT2B receptor, it is
		likely that baseline and follow-up echocardiograms to assess valve structure and function
360		and pulmonary artery pressures should be included in the study for drugs that are to be
361 362		chronically administered. In general, patients with preexisting valvulopathy or pulmonary
362		hypertension should be excluded until the cardiac risk has been characterized.
363 364		Recommendations for when and how to assess QT interval and blood pressure can be found in the guidance for industry <i>E14 Clinical Evaluation of QT/QTc Interval</i>
364 365		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
365		Prolongation and Proarrhythmic Potential for Non-Antiarrhythmic Drugs (October 2005) and the draft guideness for industry Assessment of Prospect Effects of Drugg
360 367		2005) and the draft guidance for industry Assessment of Pressor Effects of Drugs
368		(February 2022). ³³
	_	
369	•	Sponsors should address how adverse events or serious risks are mitigated during the
370		clinical studies and if similar strategies can be implemented post marketing. Sponsors
371		should consider where the drug would be dispensed and administered if approved and
372		whether the healthcare system would be able to prevent nonmedical use, accidental
373		exposure, and overdose for both patients and nonpatients. Sponsors should also consider
374		if gaps exist in the health care system regarding safe use. For the majority of drugs,
375		routine risk mitigation measures, such as providing health care providers with risk
376		information through FDA-approved prescribing information, are sufficient to preserve
377		benefits while minimizing risks. In some cases, however, FDA may consider whether a

 $^{^{\}rm 33}$ When final, this guidance will represent the FDA's current thinking on this topic.

Draft — Not for Implementation

- risk evaluation and mitigation strategy may be necessary to ensure that the benefits of the 378 drug outweigh its risks.³⁴ 379
- 380

• FDA may consider the public health effects of the drug as part of the overall benefit-risk 381 382 assessment. Public health effects of the drug include its potential effect on risks that are related to nonmedical use, substance use disorder, accidental exposure, and overdose for 383 384 patients and nonpatients.

³⁴ See 505-1(a) of the FD&C Act.